

A.No. 280/24 & 281/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Ms. Parul Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant with appellant in person. Filed vakalatnama as well as documents. Same are taken on record.

Issue Notice of the application for condonation of delay as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law Officer. A.E.(B) is directed to be present with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date.

Put up on 09.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 223/24 & 224/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Jitender Jindal, Husband of appellant no. 1 Smt. Sangeeta Jindal.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dhirender Kumar, AE(B). Vakalatnama filed. Same is taken on record.

Status report under the signature of Deputy Commissioner filed, which clearly shows that the property was already booked for revocation of sanction building plan before initiating booking under Section 343 of the DMC Act, though the order of revocation was filed after passing of demolition order dated 03.05.2023. Copy supplied

Reply to the application for condonation of delay has also been filed along with photograph, showing one person receiving the show cause notice on 22.08.2023.

When this photograph is shown to the husband of appellant no. 1, he submits that the person appearing in the photograph was the builder, who was constructing the property.

The appellant is directed to produce the said person on the next date of hearing.

One of the appellant has filed affidavit, stating that there is no person namely Poonam in their family.

- 2 -

Both the appellants are directed to remain present in person on the next date. They shall also file affidavit giving details of all their family members.

Re-notify for presence of appellants, filing affidavit and disposal of application as well as appeal on 24.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 360/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Anubhav Chandel, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Matter is listed for disposal of this appeal but Ld. counsel of the appellant is not available today.

Ld. counsel of the appellant made request for an adjournment on the last date of hearing as well.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant for advancing arguments subject to cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal. It is made clear that no further opportunity shall be granted.

Re-notify for depositing the cost and disposal of this appeal on 24.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 31/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Rajeev Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the intervener Sh.  
Anupam Khurana.

This appeal has been filed against the demolition order dated 01.09.2022, passed by the concerned AE(B) on the basis of directions given by the Ld. Predecessor of this Court vide order dated 30.11.2021 in the previous appeal filed by the appellant.

It is relevant to mention that the intervener Sh. Anupam Khurana filed a writ petition and thereafter filed a contempt petition before the Hon'ble High Court being Ct. Case (C) no. 170/21, in which the Hon'ble High Court passed the order dated 17.08.2022.

In para 6 of the said order, the Hon'ble High Court ordered that ***“The concerned Quasi Judicial Authority i.e. the Deputy Commissioner, North DMC, Civil Lines Zone is directed to pass the necessary orders in terms of the directions passed by the ATMCD, specifically bringing out whether the third floor of the concerned premises is unauthorized or not?”***

The said directions given by the Hon'ble High Court was specific that both the sealing and demolition orders were to be passed by the Deputy Commissioner,

(North), Civil Lines Zone, MCD. Despite the said directions, the impugned demolition order has not been passed by the Deputy Commissioner (North), Civil Lines Zone, MCD but has been passed by the AE(B), who was not competent to pass the demolition order dated 01.09.2022, in terms of the directions given by the Hon'ble High Court.

The speaking order after remand, in terms of the order given by the Hon'ble High Court, was required to be passed by the concerned Deputy Commissioner and not by the AE(B).

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is allowed. The impugned demolition order dated 01.09.2022 is set aside. The matter is remanded back to the Quasi-Judicial Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (North), Civil Lines Zone, MCD with the directions to pass a fresh speaking order in terms of the order dated 17.08.2022, passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

The appellant shall appear before the Deputy Commissioner concerned on **06.05.2024 at 03.00 PM**. The concerned Deputy Commissioner shall allow the appellant to submit her reply, if any and also grant her personal hearing.

The concerned Deputy Commissioner thereafter shall pass a speaking order after dealing with all the

- 3 -

submissions, pleas and defence raised by the appellant and shall communicate the said order to appellant.

All the proceedings shall be completed by the Quasi Judicial Authority within a period of 1 month from the date of commencement of hearing.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 79/24 (M)

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Nagender Kumar, Ld counsel for the applicant /  
appellant along with appellant, joined through V.C.  
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the non-applicant /  
respondent.

Appellant though joined through V.C. but she is  
directed to remain present in person on the next date  
of hearing along with the proof that she went to her  
native place and came back after three months.

Re-notify for presence of appellant and disposal of this  
appeal on 02.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**



A.No. 812/18  
Gian Chand Vs NDMC

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. V.P. Singh Parihar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report filed. Copy supplied.

Arguments on appeal heard.

Vide separate order of even date, dictated and announced in the open Court, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed.

The record of the respondent be send back alongwith copy of this order. Appeal file be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 201/17

**01.05.2024**

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Kamal Kochhar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite repeated calls, none has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning nor previous cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited. It is 02.10 PM. The present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 491/22

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Aman Singh Bakshi, Ld counsel for the appellant,  
joined through V.C.  
Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent no.  
1.  
Sh. Vipin Nandwani, Ld. counsel for respondent no. 2.

Ld. counsels for the appellant and respondent no.2  
have placed on record copy of order dated  
28.03.2019, passed by the Hon'ble High Court in  
O.M.P. (I) (COMM.) 355/2023, titled "Gopal Krishan  
Jaggi Vs Satish Jaggi".

This appeal has been filed against demolition order  
dated 28.03.2019 by the appellant, who is the builder  
of the property in question, impleading respondent no.  
2, who is the owner of the property in question.

The appellant himself had preferred a petition being  
**O.M.P. (I) (COMM.) 355/2023, titled "Gopal Krishan  
Jaggi Vs Satish Jaggi"** before the Hon'ble High  
Court, which was disposed off vide order dated  
03.11.2023. **Para 6** of the said order is relevant,  
which is reproduced as under:-

***"The guidelines have been  
issued by the Delhi  
Government and the entire  
construction work including  
demolition has been stopped  
and it may not be possible to  
remove the malba. Presently,***

***both the parties have agreed that within two months excluding the period of restrictions, the building shall be demolished and the entire malba, fittings and fixtures shall be removed. The petitioner is at liberty to take the malba, fittings and fixtures on day to day basis."***

The above order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, makes it clear that the appellant himself had agreed before the Hon'ble High Court that he would demolish the entire structure within 2 months excluding the period of restriction.

The appellant has filed this appeal being the builder of the property in question and respondent no. 2 is the owner of the property in question. Both the owner and builder of the property in question have agreed before the Hon'ble High Court to demolish the property entirely. Thus, they are bound to do so.

Ld. counsel for the appellant contended that appellant had consented before the Hon'ble High Court to demolish the property entirely because he was allowed to remove the malba and other fixtures but respondent no. 2 is not allowing him to do do.

The respondent no. 2 shall be bound in terms of the order dated 03.11.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

In view of the above facts and circumstances and keeping in view the order dated 03.11.2023, passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the petition being O.M.P. (I) (COMM.) 355/2023, titled "Gopal Krishan Jaggi Vs Satish Jaggi", I am of the considered view that once the appellant consented before the Hon'ble High Court to demolish the property in question entirely, nothing survives in the present appeal filed by the appellant. Accordingly, the present appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 203/22

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi along with Sh. Savinder Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Appellant has not brought the original certificate dated 02.02.1995. A request for adjournment is prayed.

One last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to bring the said certificate, failing which, no further opportunity shall be granted.

Put up for bringing the certificate and disposal of this appeal on 01.08.2024.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 238/19

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi along with Sh. Savinder Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent is not available today.  
One last and final opportunity is granted to Ld. counsel of respondent to remain present in person on the next date of hearing.

Put up with connected case on 01.08.2024.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 239/19

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi along with Sh. Savinder Singh, Ld  
counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the  
respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent is not available today.  
One last and final opportunity is granted to Ld. counsel  
of respondent to remain present in person on the next  
date of hearing.

Put up with connected case on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**



A.No. 306/24 & 307/24

**01.05.2024**

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Varun Nischal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Issue Notice of the application for condonation of delay as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law Officer. A.E.(B) is directed to be present with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date.

Put up on 13.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 90/24 (M) & 91/24 (M)

**01.05.2024**

File taken up today on an application for seeking restoration of appeal.

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the applicant / appellant.

Issue notice of this application upon the non-applicant / respondent for the next date of hearing.

Re-notify on 03.06.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 89/24 (M)

**01.05.2024**

Present : None for the applicant/appellant.  
Ms. Simran Auluck, Ld counsel for the none-applicant/respondent. Fresh V/N filed by Ld counsel for respondent. It be taken on record.

Ld. counsel for respondent seeks some time to file reply of the application.

Renotify for filing reply and disposal of the application on 31.07.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 386/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. Counsel for respondent.

Status report alongwith copy of reply of show cause notice filed by the appellant, filed, copy supplied.

After some arguments, Ld. counsel of appellant seeks some time to take some instructions. On request matter is adjourned.

Put up for disposal of appeal on 18.07.2024. The date is given as per the convenience of Ld counsel of appellant.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 264/23, 265/23, 266/23, 267/23, 776/22 & 777/22

**01.05.2024**

Present : Ms. Bandana Kaur Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Akhil Mittal, Ld counsel for the respondent alongwith Sh. D.K. Meena, A.E.(B).  
Sh. Sameer Tandon, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Status report has been filed stating that amalgamation has been removed but still some deviations are existing which are not fully removed.

Ld. counsel for appellant, on instructions, submits that all the deviations pointed in the status report have been removed.

A joint inspection be carried on 02.05.2024 at 11:00 AM by the A.E.(B) in the presence of A.R. of the appellant. The A.R. of the appellant shall keep the sanctioned building plan handy at the time of inspection. The A.E.(B) shall mark the deviations in the sanctioned building plan and file the same alongwith status report on the next date of hearing. For the purpose of inspection A.E.(B) is permitted to desal the property in question and reseal the same after carrying out the inspection.

Put up for filing status report and disposal of the appeal on 06.05.2024 at 2.00 p.m.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 198/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Lalit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC alongwith Sh. Anmol Ghai, Advocate and husband of the appellant present in the court.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report has been filed, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel of respondent submits that regularization application was rejected as there exist non-compoundable deviations in the portion of the appellant which is contrary to the sanctioned building plan with respect to the portion in question.

Respondent is directed to file fresh status report giving details of those non-compoundable deviations. They shall also bring the sanctioned building plan on the next date of hearing.

Put up for filing status report and disposal of the appeal on 28.05.2024.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 197/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Rajesh Baweja, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer, MCD.

Put up with connected appeal on 28.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 425/15

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Lalit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Put up with connected appeal on 28.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**



A.No. 527/16 & 531/16

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Rajesh Baweja, Ld counsel for the appellent.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Put up with connected appeal on 28.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 203/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Vipin Kumar proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant has not placed on record any documents as directed vide last order.

Put up with connected appeal for disposal on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 182/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Rajat Manchanda, Ld. counsel for applicant Sh.  
Raman Kumar Tandon and Mrs. Rosy Tandon.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 has been filed,  
copy supplied.

Respondent has not filed status report as directed vide  
last order.

Reply to the application for condonation of delay has  
also not been filed.

Appellant is directed to file reply of the application  
under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC.

Respondent shall also file status report and reply of  
the application u/s 5 of the Limitation Act by the next  
date of hearing.

Put up for filing reply and disposal of all pending  
applications as well as appeal on 01.08.2024.

Interim order, if any, to continue till next date of  
hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 785/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Rajat Manchanda, Ld counsel for the appellants  
alongwith appellant No.1 in person.  
Sh.Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Vipin Kumar, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Reply to the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC  
has been filed, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for appellants submits that appellants  
wishes to withdraw the present appeal with the liberty  
to file fresh regularization application with the MCD as  
per law.

Separate statement of the appellant No.1 and Ld.  
counsel for appellants has been recorded in this  
regard.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the  
appeal filed by the appellants is dismissed as  
withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned  
alongwith copy of this order. Appeal file be consigned  
to record room.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 39/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent  
alongwith Sh. R.C. Meena, A.E.(B).

A.E.(B) submits that application for regularization was filed under self assessment scheme and under self assessment scheme the Quasi Judicial Authority is not required to issue any IN for deficiencies and the application needs to be as per the norms.

The Quasi Judicial Authority is directed to file status report alongwith policy to point out, under which policy / provision they are prohibited from issuing IN in a self assessment scheme for regularization of property.

Put up for filing status report and disposal of the appeal on 05.06.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 30/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent  
alongwith Sh. R.C. Meena, A.E.(B).  
Sh. Rajeev Kapoor, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Renotify for disposal of this appeal on 05.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 203/18

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh.Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. H.R.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Arvind Kumar, Ld. counsel for the Amarpali  
CGHS.

Vide last order appellant was directed to file detailed affidavit in support of application of condonation of delay within two weeks. The said directions have not been complied with by the appellant.

Affidavit in terms of the last order has been filed by the appellant only yesterday, copy of which has been supplied today.

Respondent is directed to file reply of the application of condonation of delay by the next date of hearing.

Put up for filing reply and disposal of the application as well as appeal on 04.07.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 76/20

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh.Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. H.R.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Arvind Kumar, Ld. counsel for the Amarpali  
CGHS.

Appellant has not filed affidavit as directed vide last order.

One last opportunity is granted to the appellant to file affidavit in compliance of the last order within two weeks. Advance copy of the affidavit be supplied to the Ld. counsel of respondent.

Respondent shall file reply of the application for condonation of delay by the next date of hearing.

Put up for filing affidavit, reply of the application as well as disposal of the application and appeal on 04.07.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**



A.No. 337/22

**01.05.2024**

Present : Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant is directed to file a detailed affidavit in support of the application for condonation of delay.

Put up for filing affidavit and disposal of the application as well as appeal on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 338/22

**01.05.2024**

Present : Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Put up with connected appeal for disposal on  
01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 453/21

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh.R.Vashudev, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh V/N filed. It be taken on record.  
Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Intervener in person.

Matter is listed for disposal of appeal but the Ld. Counsel for appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has just been engaged and could not get case file from the previous counsel.

One last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to come prepare for advancing the arguments on the next date of hearing, failing which no further opportunity shall be granted in any circumstances.

Renotify for disposal of this appeal on 05.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 07/24

**01.05.2024**

Present : Ms. Archana Chinner, Ld counsel for the appellant through LAC.  
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has been brought, same be deposited in the Registry and tagged with this file.

Reply to the application for condonation of delay has been filed, copy supplied.

Renotify for disposal of pending application for condonation of delay and appeal on 05.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 683/16

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Sudesh Kumar proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report has been filed alongwith photographs, copy supplied. Photographs clearly depicts that appellants raised construction on fourth floor.

Ld. counsel of appellant is not available.

Appellants are directed to remain present in person on the next date of hearing.

Put up for disposal of the application, if any, as well as appeal on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 812/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh.Gaurav , Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application for condonation of delay filed, copy of which placed on record, Ld. counsel of appellant can collect copy from the record before the next date of hearing.

Put up for disposal of application and disposal of appeal on 07.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 687/19

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Raghav Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. Counsel for respondent.  
Sh. Vinayak Thakur, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Appellant has not filed amended memo of parties despite directions.

Part arguments on the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC.

Ld. counsel for applicant submits that applicant is the co-owner of the property, which was purchased by the applicant from the father of the appellant No.3 & 4. The said sale deed has not been placed on record.

Intervener is directed to place on record the said sale deed before the next date of hearing.

Renotify for filing amended memo of parties and documents as well as for disposal of the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC on 01.08.2024.

Interim order, if any, to continue till next date of hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 20/22

**01.05.2024**

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Mohit Vats, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Matter is listed for disposal of appeal but none appeared on behalf of the appellant.

In the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted subject to cost of Rs.2000/- to be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for disposal of this appeal on 02.08.2024.

Interim order, if any, to continue till next date of hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**



A.No. 821/17

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Neha Kapur, Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel of appellant is not available. He was not present on the last date of hearing as well.

One last and final opportunity is granted to remain present on the very first call on the next date of hearing.

Put up for disposal of this appeal on 02.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 468/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Rakesh Chander, Ld counsel for the appellant  
joined through VC along with Sh. Shivansh Naag,  
Advocate present in the court.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant has not filed attested signature of Sh.  
Saurabh Singhal as directed vide last order.

Previous cost has not been deposited.

One last opportunity is granted to the appellant to  
comply the last order and to deposit the previous cost.

Put up for depositing cost, filing attested signature and  
disposal of this appeal on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 467/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Rakesh Chander, Ld counsel for the appellant  
joined through VC along with Sh. Shivansh Naag,  
Advocate present in the court.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant filed attested signature of Sh. Saurabh  
Singhal, copy supplied.

Previous cost has not been deposited.

One last opportunity is granted to the appellant to  
deposit the cost.

Put up for depositing cost and disposal of this appeal  
on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 329/23

**01.05.2024**

Present : Ms. Ankita Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Neeraj Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Status report has been filed alongwith photographs,  
copy supplied.

Respondent is directed to submit the standard plan of  
the flat in question before the next date of hearing.

Put up for filing standard plan, disposal of the pending  
application as well as appeal on 01.08.2024.

Interim order, if any, to continue till next date of  
hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 172/15

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Shantanu Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the  
respondent alongwith Sh. Srinivas, A.E.(B).

Reply to the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC has not been filed. Opportunity for filing reply is closed.

Vide this application, appellant is seeking incorporation of details of sealing order in para-4, 5, 6 and prayer clause of the appeal, which has not been mentioned in the original appeal, as order was not provided to the appellant.

The amendment sought to be incorporated in the appeal are formal in nature, would not change the nature of the appeal and is just and necessary for the complete adjudication of the dispute.

Considering the above facts and circumstances, the application filed under Order VI Rule 17 CPC is allowed. Amended appeal is taken on record.

Put up for disposal of the appeal on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 173/15

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Shantanu Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the  
respondent alongwith Sh. Srinivas, A.E.(B).

Put up for disposal of the application of condonation of  
delay as well as appeal on 01.08.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 866/18

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Sonu Chirag, Ld counsel for the appellant no.1.  
Sh. D.K.Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant no. 2 &  
3.  
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application for condonation of delay filed,  
copy supplied.

Respondent is directed to file status report with proof  
to show how the demolition order was served upon the  
appellant.

Put up for filing status report and disposal of appeal on  
02.08.2024.

Interim order, if any, to continue till next date of  
hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 636/17 & 737/17

**01.05.2024**

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report has been filed, copy supplied.

As per the status report earlier the father of the appellant filed two appeals being appeal No.324/17 and 247/17 which were disposed of on 15.05.2017.

In those appeals a joint inspection was carried out and one site plan (RW-1) was also filed, showing two different properties with the same number i.e. 178, Village Jasola, New Delhi.

The registry is directed to summon both the files being appeal No. 324/17 and 247/17, which were disposed of on 15.05.2017 before the next date of hearing.

Put up for disposal of the appeal on 01.08.2024.

Interim order, if any, to continue till next date of hearing.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**



A.No. 252/23

**01.05.2024**

File taken up today on receipt of order dated 15.04.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in WP (C) No.179/2024 titled Sharda Vs Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Ors. for complying the order dated 23.01.2023 vide which the order dated 24.05.2023 passed by this Court has been set aside and the matter has been remanded back to decide about the legality of the demolition order.

Present : None.

Issue court notice to both the parties as well as their counsels for 07.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**

A.No. 308/24

**01.05.2024**

Fresh appeal filed. It be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Nirmal Joshi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of the appeal and applications, if any, to the respondents through concerned Chief Law Officer. AE(B) is directed to be present with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on the next date of hearing.

Appellant shall also remain present in person on the next date.

Put up for arguments on 10.05.2024.

(PITAMBER DUTT)  
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD  
**01.05.2024**